



Zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment has long been the hallmark of the CoreCivic policy and program to prevent, detect and respond to such conduct. Since the adoption of the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) PREA National Standards and the 2014 publication of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Standards, CoreCivic has taken a leadership position on this important safety and public policy issue. The company has developed an aggressive plan with the goal of reducing the risk of victimization through sexual abuse. CoreCivic employs full time staff dedicated to this mission.

CoreCivic has continued to focus on training and education as key elements of the PREA Program. Best practices are routinely shared with facility leaders and training sessions are held to update staff on changes in policy and procedures related to prevention of sexual abuse. Expanded oversight of the PREA investigation process has been implemented with the addition of a PREA investigation coordinator. Corrective measures are developed following reviews of PREA incidents, and these measures are outlined within this report.

In addition to a comprehensive process that includes layers of internal audits, CoreCivic maintains a full schedule of external audits conducted by certified PREA Auditors in accordance with DOJ and DHS Standards. During calendar year 2018, a total of fifteen (15) CoreCivic Safety facilities and seven (7) CoreCivic Community facilities successfully completed the PREA audit process. Once again, these results affirm the CoreCivic commitment to creating a culture of reporting and addressing all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

It is our responsibility to respect and uphold the rights and welfare of inmates, detainees and residents in our care. Preventing sexual abuse is a critical component of that responsibility.

Patrick Swindle

Executive Vice President and Chief Corrections Officer



SCOPE OF THE 2018 PREA ANNUAL REPORT

This report is compiled in accordance with the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) National Standards published in August 2012 and the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Standards published in March 2014. DOJ Standards 115.87 and 115.287 provide direction for the collection of data. DOJ Standards 115.88 and 115.288, and DHS Standard 115.88, outline the responsibility for the review and assessment of collected data to improve the effectiveness of policies, practices and training for sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response.

This report provides a review of the incident-based and aggregated data for calendar year 2018 and a comparison of aggregated data for calendar years 2015, 2016 and 2017. In addition, this report provides corrective actions developed to further reduce sexual abuse and sexual harassment within CoreCivic facilities.

<u>United States Department of Justice (DOJ) PREA Standard 115.6 —</u> <u>Definitions Related To Sexual Abuse</u>

Sexual abuse includes—

- Sexual abuse of an inmate, detainee, or resident by another inmate, detainee, or resident; and
- 2. Sexual abuse of an inmate, detainee, or resident by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer.

Sexual abuse of an inmate, detainee, or resident by another inmate, detainee, or resident includes any of the following acts, if the victim does not consent, is coerced into such act by overt or implied threats of violence, or is unable to consent or refuse:

- Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus, including penetration, however slight;
- 2. Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva, or anus;
- 3. Penetration of the anal or genital opening of another person, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument; and
- 4. Any other intentional touching, either directly or through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks of another person, excluding contact incidental to a physical altercation.



Sexual abuse of an inmate, detainee, or resident by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer includes any of the following acts, with or without consent of the inmate, detainee, or resident:

- 1. Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus, including penetration, however slight;
- 2. Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva, or anus;
- 3. Contact between the mouth and any body part where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire;
- 4. Penetration of the anal or genital opening, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument, that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire;
- 5. Any other intentional contact, either directly or through the clothing, of or with the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks, that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire:
- Any attempt, threat, or request by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer to engage in the activities described in paragraphs (1)-(5) of this section;
- 7. Any display by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer of his or her uncovered genitalia, buttocks, or breast in the presence of an inmate, detainee, or resident, and
- 8. Voyeurism by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer. (Voyeurism by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer means an invasion of privacy of an inmate, detainee, or resident by staff for reasons unrelated to official duties, such as peering at an inmate who is using a toilet in his or her cell to perform bodily functions; requiring an inmate to expose his or her buttocks, genitals, or breasts; or taking images of all or part of an inmate's naked body or of an inmate performing bodily functions).

Sexual Harassment includes:

- Repeated and unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, or verbal comments, gestures, or actions of a derogatory or offensive sexual nature by one inmate, detainee, or resident directed toward another; and
- Repeated verbal comments or gestures of a sexual nature to an inmate, detainee, or resident by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer, including demeaning references to gender, sexually suggestive or derogatory comments about body or clothing, or obscene language or gestures



2018 PREA Annual Report

<u>United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) PREA Standard</u> 115.6 Definitions Related To Sexual Abuse

For purposes of this part, the term Sexual abuse includes:

- 1. Sexual abuse and assault of a detainee by another detainee; and
- 2. Sexual abuse and assault of a detainee by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer.

Sexual abuse of a detainee by another detainee includes any of the following acts by one or more detainees, prisoners, inmates, or residents of the facility in which the detainee is housed who, by force, coercion, or intimidation, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse, engages in or attempts to engage in:

- Contact between the penis and the vulva or anus and, for purposes of this paragraph (1), contact involving the penis upon penetration, however slight;
- 2. Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva, or anus;
- 3. Penetration, however slight, of the anal or genital opening of another person by a hand or finger or by any object;
- 4. Touching of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thighs or buttocks, either directly or through the clothing, with an intent to abuse, humiliate, harass, degrade or arouse or gratify the sexual desire of any person; or
- 5. Threats, intimidation, or other actions or communications by one or more detainees aimed at coercing or pressuring another detainee to engage in a sexual act.

Sexual abuse of a detainee by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer includes any of the following acts, if engaged in by one or more staff members, volunteers, or contract personnel who, with or without the consent of the detainee, engages in or attempts to engage in:

- 1. Contact between the penis and the vulva or anus and, for purposes of this paragraph (1), contact involving the penis upon penetration, however slight;
- 2. Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva, or anus;
- Penetration, however slight, of the anal or genital opening of another person by a hand or finger or by any object that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire;
- 4. Intentional touching of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thighs or buttocks, either directly or through the clothing, that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor, or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire;
- 5. Threats, intimidation, harassment, indecent, profane or abusive language, or other actions or communications, aimed at coercing or pressuring a detainee to engage in a sexual act;
- 6. Repeated verbal statements or comments of a sexual nature to a detainee;
- Any display of his or her uncovered genitalia, buttocks, or breast in the presence of an inmate, detainee, or resident, or
- 8. Voyeurism, which is defined as the inappropriate visual surveillance of a detainee for reasons unrelated to official duties. Where not conducted for reasons relating to official duties, the following are examples of voyeurism: staring at a detainee who is using a toilet in his or her cell to perform bodily functions; requiring an inmate detainee to expose his or her buttocks, genitals, or breasts; or taking images of all or part of a detainee's naked body or of a detainee performing bodily functions.



INVESTIGATIONS

In all instances of alleged PREA violations that may constitute a criminal act, CoreCivic provides timely notification and works closely with appropriate law enforcement agencies and the government partner. In such cases, CoreCivic invites law enforcement agencies to carry out the official investigation on-site and make the final determination as to the validity of the alleged PREA violation(s). For internal administrative investigation of PREA incidents, CoreCivic utilizes investigators trained in gathering evidence and interviewing victims of sexual abuse. Training is in accordance with PREA Standard 115.34. Following an investigation, each PREA Incident will be determined to have been either:

- Substantiated: An allegation that was investigated and determined to have more likely than not occurred.
- Unsubstantiated: An allegation that was investigated and the investigation produced insufficient evidence to make a final determination as to whether or not the incident occurred,
- Unfounded: An allegation that was investigated and determined not to have occurred.
- Pending: An Investigation has not been completed.

All substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment result in appropriate disciplinary actions taken against the employee, contractor, volunteer, or inmate, and where appropriate referral for prosecution. Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary action sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse.

DATA COLLECTION

CoreCivic utilizes an Incident Report Database to record and track all PREA incidents from the initial report made at the facility level through the investigative and review process. Data is gathered consistent with the definitions found in the United States Department of Justice PREA Standards and the Department of Homeland Security Standards for ICE facilities. This data is also utilized to respond to the Annual Department of Justice Survey of Sexual Victimization that is forwarded to each facility.

Two sets of tables have been provided in this report that contain complete 2018 data. The first set is in accordance with the DOJ Standards for Adult Prisons and Jails, and the DHS PREA Standards. The second set consists of data for CoreCivic Community Corrections facilities in accordance with the DOJ Standards for Community Confinement Facilities. The tables containing the data for Department of Justice Prisons and Jails have notations indicating whether a facility also houses detainees through agreements with Immigration and Customs Enforcement. Facilities housing ICE detainees fall under the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention Standards issued in 2014. DHS Standards differ from DOJ Standards in that DHS Standards do not have a separate definition for Sexual Harassment and include acts commonly defined as Sexual Harassment within the definitions of Sexual Abuse.

In 2018, CoreCivic operated seven (7) facilities with exclusively ICE detainee populations. These facilities are Elizabeth Detention Center, Eloy Detention Center, Laredo Processing Center, Stewart Detention Center, South Texas Family Residential Center, T. Don Hutto Residential Center and the Webb County Detention Center. Six (6) additional facilities (Central Arizona Florence Correctional Complex, Cibola County Correctional Center, Nevada Southern Detention Center, Otay Mesa Detention Center, Northeast Ohio Correctional Center and the West Tennessee Detention Center) operated in 2018 with mixed populations of inmates/detainees falling under the both DOJ and DHS/ICE Standards.

In 2018, CoreCivic added the Lee Adjustment Center in Kentucky to house inmates for the State of Kentucky. The Oracle Transitional Center in Tucson, Arizona was added by CoreCivic Community for a population of Federal Bureau of Prisons residents. Those facilities are included in this 2018 Annual Report.



FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	Total
Adams	2,203.0	0	2	0	0	2
Bent County	1,382.0	1	3	2	0	6
CAFCC*	4,321.0	11	15	3	0	29
Cibola	876.0	6	6	2	0	14
Cimarron	1,637.0	0	8	3	0	11
Citrus	594.0	0	2	1	0	3
Coffee	2,590.0	2	9	0	0	11
Crossroads	660.0	0	2	1	0	3
Crowley	1,703.0	0	6	1	0	7
Davis	1,651.0	0	6	1	0	7
Elizabeth (ICE)	283.0	1	0	0	0	1
Eloy (ICE)	1,409.0	2	16	6	0	24
Hardeman	1,971.0	0	3	3	0	6
Houston (ICE)	929.0	0	5	1	0	6
Jenkins	1,128.0	2	0	1	0	3
La Palma	2,772.0	4	1	0	0	5
Lake City	878.0	1	1	1	1	4
Lake Erie	1,763.2	4	6	0	0	10
Laredo (ICE)	336.0	1	2	0	0	3
Leavenworth	839.0	0	2	0	0	2
Lee Adjustment	508.0	0	2	3	0	5
Marion County Jail II	1,212.0	0	2	0	0	2
McRae	1,547.0	0	0	0	0	0
Metro	904.0	2	1	1	0	4
Nevada Southern	934.0	0	5	0	0	5
Northeast Ohio *	1,772.0	0	7	2	0	9
Northwest New Mexico	701.0	0	0	1	0	1
Otay Mesa *	1,422.0	9	17	4	0	30
Red Rock	1,952.0	0	2	1	0	3
Saguaro	1,728.0	3	1	0	0	4
Silverdale	1,010.0	1	3	1	0	5
South Central	1,623.0	0	15	2	0	17
STFRC (ICE)	1,528.0	0	0	0	0	0
Stewart (ICE)	1,845.0	2	9	2	0	13
T.Don Hutto (ICE)	498.0	0	0	0	0	0
Tallahatchie	1,079.0	0	1	1	0	2
Trousdale	2,490.0	0	13	9	2	24
Webb	324.0	0	1	0	0	1
West TN	494.0	1	0	1	0	2
Wheeler	2,600.0	0	2	4	0	6
Whiteville	1,500.0	0	5	1	1	7
Totals	1,500.0	53	181	59	4	297



FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	Total
Adams	2,203.0	0	0	1	0	1
Bent County	1,382.0	0	4	0	0	4
CAFCC*	4,321.0	0	3	10	0	13
Cibola	876.0	0	1	2	0	3
Cimarron	1,637.0	0	4	3	0	7
Citrus	594.0	0	2	2	0	4
Coffee	2,590.0	0	5	1	0	6
Crossroads	660.0	0	0	1	0	1
Crowley	1,703.0	1	0	2	0	3
Davis	1,651.0	1	0	1	0	2
Elizabeth (ICE)	283.0	0	0	0	0	0
Eloy (ICE)	1,409.0	0	5	4	0	9
Hardeman	1,971.0	0	2	5	1	8
Houston (ICE)	929.0	0	0	3	1	4
Jenkins	1,128.0	1	0	3	0	4
La Palma	2,772.0	0	0	1	0	1
Lake City	878.0	0	1	1	0	2
Lake Erie	1,763.2	0	0	0	0	0
Laredo (ICE)	336.0	0	0	0	0	0
Leavenworth	839.0	0	0	1	0	1
Lee Adjustment	508.0	0	3	3	1	7
Marion County Jail II	1,212.0	1	0	0	0	1
McRae	1,547.0	0	1	0	0	1
Metro	904.0	0	0	1	0	1
Nevada Southern	934.0	0	0	2	0	2
Northeast Ohio *	1,772.0	1	0	1	0	2
Northwest New Mexico	701.0	0	1	3	0	4
Otay Mesa *	1,422.0	0	5	8	0	13
Red Rock	1,952.0	0	0	4	0	4
Saguaro	1,728.0	1	0	0	0	1
Silverdale	1,010.0	1	1	0	0	2
South Central	1,623.0	4	3	2	0	9
STFRC (ICE)	1,528.0	0	0	0	0	0
Stewart (ICE)	1,845.0	1	0	0	0	1
T.Don Hutto (ICE)	498.0	0	0	0	0	0
Tallahatchie	1,079.0	2	1	0	0	3
Γrousdale	2,490.0	2	0	1	0	3
Webb	324.0	0	0	0	0	0
West TN	494.0	0	1	5	0	6
Wheeler	2,600.0	0	1	3	0	4
Whiteville	1,500.0	3	4	1	0	8
Totals		19	48	75	3	145
*Also houses ICE Detainees						



FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	Total
Adams	2,203.0	1	0	0	0	1
Bent County	1,382.0	0	0	0	0	0
CAFCC*	4,321.0	10	24	11	0	45
Cibola	876.0	0	0	0	0	0
Cimarron	1,637.0	0	4	1	0	5
Citrus	594.0	1	7	0	0	8
Coffee	2,590.0	0	10	1	0	11
Crossroads	660.0	0	0	2	0	2
Crowley	1,703.0	1	1	0	0	2
Davis	1,651.0	0	2	1	0	3
Elizabeth (ICE)	283.0	0	0	0	0	0
Eloy (ICE)	1,409.0	0	0	0	0	0
Hardeman	1,971.0	0	1	0	0	1
Houston (ICE)	929.0	0	0	0	0	0
Jenkins	1,128.0	0	0	0	1	1
La Palma	2,772.0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake City	878.0	0	3	0	1	4
Lake Erie	1,763.2	1	3	0	0	4
Laredo (ICE)	336.0	0	0	0	0	0
Leavenworth	839.0	1	1	0	0	2
Lee Adjustment	508.0	0	1	0	0	1
Marion County Jail II	1,212.0	0	1	0	0	1
McRae	1,547.0	0	1	0	0	1
Metro	904.0	1	1	0	0	2
Nevada Southern	934.0	0	3	0	0	3
Northeast Ohio *	1,772.0	2	3	1	0	6
Northwest New Mexico	701.0	0	0	1	0	1
Otay Mesa *	1,422.0	0	4	1	0	5
Red Rock	1,952.0	1	1	1	0	3
Saguaro	1,728.0	0	0	0	0	0
Silverdale	1,010.0	0	0	0	0	0
South Central	1,623.0	0	4	0	0	4
STFRC (ICE)	1,528.0	0	0	0	0	0
Stewart (ICE)	1,845.0	0	0	0	0	0
T.Don Hutto (ICE)	498.0	0	0	0	0	0
Tallahatchie .	1,079.0	0	0	0	0	0
Trousdale	2,490.0	0	2	1	1	4
Webb	324.0	0	0	0	0	0
West TN	494.0	0	0	4	0	4
Wheeler	2,600.0	0	5	2	0	7
Whiteville	1,500.0	0	7	0	0	7
Totals		19	89	27	3	138



2018 PRISONS/JAILS EMPLOYEE ON INMATE/DETAINEE-SEXUAL HARASSMENT							
FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	Total	
Adams	2,203.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Bent County	1,382.0	0	0	0	0	0	
CAFCC*	4,321.0	1	3	7	0	11	
Cibola	876.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cimarron	1,637.0	0	1	3	0	4	
Citrus	594.0	0	1	0	0	1	
Coffee	2,590.0	0	6	0	0	6	
Crossroads	660.0	0	0	2	0	2	
Crowley	1,703.0	0	1	0	0	1	
Davis	1,651.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Elizabeth (ICE)	283.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Eloy (ICE)	1,409.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Hardeman	1,971.0	0	2	0	0	2	
Houston (ICE)	929.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jenkins	1,128.0	0	0	0	0	0	
La Palma	2,772.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Lake City	878.0	0	1	0	0	1	
Lake Erie	1,763.2	0	0	0	0	0	
Laredo (ICE)	336.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Leavenworth	839.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Lee Adjustment	508.0	0	1	0	0	1	
Marion County Jail II	1,212.0	0	0	0	0	0	
McRae	1,547.0	1	0	0	0	1	
Metro	904.0	1	0	0	0	1	
Nevada Southern	934.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Northeast Ohio *	1,772.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Northwest New Mexico	701.0	0	5	4	0	9	
Otay Mesa *	1,422.0	0	1	0	0	1	
Red Rock	1,952.0	0	0	1	0	1	
Saguaro	1,728.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Silverdale	1,010.0	0	1	0	0	1	
South Central	1,623.0	0	1	0	0	1	
STFRC (ICE)	1,528.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stewart (ICE)	1,845.0	0	0	0	0	0	
T.Don Hutto (ICE)	498.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tallahatchie	1,079.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Trousdale	2,490.0	1	1	1	0	3	
Webb	324.0	0	0	0	0	0	
West TN	494.0	0	1	3	0	4	
Wheeler	2,600.0	1	1	3	0	5	
Whiteville	1,500.0	0	3	2	0	5	
Totals		5	30	26	0	61	
*Also houses ICE Detainees							



CoreCivic Safety PREA Totals*:

TYPE OF REPORT	TOTAL CASES	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL VOLUME
Inmate on Inmate Sexual Abuse	297	46%
Employee on Inmate Sexual Abuse	145	23%
Inmate on Inmate Sexual Harassment	138	22%
Employee on Inmate Sexual Harassment	61	9%
Total cases	641 cases	

CoreCivic Safety Yearly Comparisons*:

SUBSTANTIATED	2015	2016	2017	2018
IOI Sexual Abuse	20	29	21	53
EOI Sexual Abuse	19	13	15	19
IOI Sexual Harassment	15	19	14	19
EOI Sexual Harassment	5	3	7	5
Total	59	64	57	96

UNSUBSTANTIATED	2015	2016	2017	2018
IOI Sexual Abuse	179	189	147	181
EOI Sexual Abuse	73	91	49	48
IOI Sexual Harassment	100	73	72	89
EOI Sexual Harassment	52	29	22	30
Total	404	382	290	348

UNFOUNDED	2015	2016	2017	2018
IOI Sexual Abuse	42	51	46	59
EOI Sexual Abuse	51	73	58	75
IOI Sexual Harassment	18	21	11	27
EOI Sexual Harassment	31	19	17	26
Total	142	164	132	187

TOTAL INCIDENTS	605	610	479	631
Pending				10
FINAL TOTAL				641

2018 increase from 2017: 32% 2018 increase from 2016: 3%

 $[\]ensuremath{^{\star}}\xspace Does not account for pending cases at time of report.$





RANK	FACILITY	ADP	TOTAL PREA CASES	SUBSTANTIATED CASES
1	CAFCC	4,321	98	22
2	Otay Mesa Detention Center	1,422	49	9
3	Coffee	2,590	34	2
	Trousdale Turner	2,490	34	3
4	Eloy	1,409	33	2
5	South Central	1,623	31	4
6	Whiteville	1,500	27	3
7	Wheeler	2,600	22	1
8	Cibola	876	17	6
	Hardeman	1,971	17	0
	NEOCC	1,772	17	3

Highest Volume facilities 2018:

							
FACILITY	2016 NUMBER OF REPORTS	2017 NUMBER OF REPORTS	2018 NUMBER OF REPORTS	PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 2017 TO 2018			
CAFCC	46	44	98	+123%			
Otay Mesa	15	19	49	+158%			
Coffee	38	42	34	-19%			
Trousdale	29	31	34	+10%			
Eloy	33	22	33	+50%			

Rates of Incidence:

FACILITY	ADP	TOTAL PREA VOLUME	PER CAPITA RATE	TOTAL SUBSTANTIATED	Rate/ Substantiated
CAFCC	4,321	98	.023	22	.005
Otay Mesa	1,422	49	.034	9	.006
Coffee	2,590	34	.013	2	.001
Trousdale	2,490	34	.014	3	.001
Eloy	1,409	33	.023	2	.001
South Central	1,623	31	.019	4	.002



ANALYSIS

Central Arizona Florence Correctional Complex (CAFCC):

In 2017, the Central Arizona Detention Center (CADC) was combined with the nearby Florence facility. The complex is now named the Central Arizona Florence Correctional Complex (CAFCC). Of the 98 PREA cases handled this year, 22 were substantiated. Of the 22 substantiated cases, six involved ICE detainees and 16 involved USMS inmates. At least five of the substantiated cases involved transgender inmates or detainees. One ICE detainee was involved as an alleged victim or alleged suspect in 32 of the reported cases (32 percent of all cases were involving one specific detainee, most of them unfounded).

While the number of employee involved abuse allegations increased, none of those allegations were substantiated and most were pat-down complaints. CoreCivic implemented a new standard of managing pat-down complaints per PREA investigation and documentation standards this year, which increased the number of documented cases overall.

CAFCC		
	2017	2018
EOI Sexual Abuse	1	13
IOI Sexual Abuse	30	29
EOI Sexual Harassment	0	11
IOI Sexual Harassment	13	45
Total	44	98

Otay Mesa Detention Center:

Otay Mesa Detention Center cares for USMS inmates and ICE detainees, therefore both DOJ and DHS reporting standards are in place for the respective populations. There was a population increase at the facility this year: ADP 2017 was 1385 and for 2018 was 1418. Of the 49 reported PREA allegations, nine were substantiated (18%). None of those substantiated cases involved employees. The majority of reports (and all substantiated cases) were in the Inmate or Detainee on Inmate or Detainee Sexual Abuse category.

OTAY MESA DETENTION CENTER				
	2017		2018	
EOI Sexual Abuse	5	EOI Sexual Abuse	13	
IOI Sexual Abuse	12	IOI Sexual Abuse	30	
EOI Sexual Harassment	2	EOI Sexual Harassment	1	
IOI Sexual Harassment	0	IOI Sexual Harassment	5	
Total	19	Total	49	



Coffee Correctional:

Overall volume of PREA allegations was down 19% this year. The most significant drop was in Inmate on Inmate Harassment allegations, while there was a slight increase in employee involved abuse allegations (including pat search complaints). There were no substantiated employee involved cases. The two substantiated cases were both Inmate on Inmate Sexual Abuse cases and accounted for 5% of the overall case volume.

COFFEE CORRECTIONAL				
	2017		2018	
EOI Sexual Abuse	3	EOI Sexual Abuse	6	
IOI Sexual Abuse	10	IOI Sexual Abuse	11	
EOI Sexual Harassment	10	EOI Sexual Harassment	6	
IOI Sexual Harassment	19	IOI Sexual Harassment	11	
Total	42	Total	34	

Eloy Detention Center:

Eloy manages an ICE detainee population and therefore, there are no harassment allegations reported under DHS PREA standards. In 2018, employee involved cases dropped 18%, while detainee involved cases rose 60%. There were two substantiated cases this year; both were detainee on detainee abuse allegations.

ELOY DETENTION CENTER				
	2017		2018	
EOI Sexual Abuse	11	EOI Sexual Abuse	9	
IOI Sexual Abuse	15	IOI Sexual Abuse	24	
Total	26	Total	33	

Trousdale Turner Correctional Center:

Trousdale Turner saw a decline in employee involved abuse allegations this year, but had a rise in Inmate on Inmate abuse cases. There was a change in personnel, both administrative and investigative, and training efforts on PREA-specific topics were deployed to personnel to enhance the agency's handling of PREA matters at the facility. CoreCivic also worked with victim advocate partners to better the resources for inmates in relation to PREA related concerns.

TROUSDALE TURNER CORRECTIONAL CENTER				
	2017		2018	
EOI Sexual Abuse	8	EOI Sexual Abuse	3	
IOI Sexual Abuse	19	IOI Sexual Abuse	24	
EOI Sexual Harassment	1	EOI Sexual Harassment	3	
IOI Sexual Harassment	3	IOI Sexual Harassment	4	
Total	31	Total	34	



CORECIVIC COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

CoreCivic Community continues to be a focus for enhanced PREA efforts and awareness in the company. This division includes halfway houses, residential reentry facilities and all facilities falling under the definition of Community Confinement. The overall PREA volume in Community facilities went up in 2018. This is the result of intensive training efforts in 2018. Facilities new to the CoreCivic portfolio in 2017 now have PREA Standards reliably institutionalized, which may be a catalyst for increased reporting over time. The overall volume for all facilities increased 55 percent in 2018, but substantiation rates decreased. 25 percent of all PREA cases were substantiated in 2017, while only 15 percent of cases were substantiated in 2018.

CoreCivic Community PREA Totals:

2018 TOTALS	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	TOTAL
Employee on Inmate Sexual Abuse	7	17	23	0	47
Employee on Inmate Sexual Harassment	1	6	5	0	12
Inmate on Inmate Sexual Abuse	2	11	5	0	18
Inmate on Inmate Sexual Harassment	2	3	0	0	5
TOTAL INCIDENTS:	12	37	33	0	82

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	PENDING	Total
2017	13	31	7	2	53
2018	12	37	33	0	82

Of the total 82 incidents in 2018: Substantiated: 12 cases (15%)

Unsubstantiated: 37 cases (45%)

Unfounded: 33 cases (40%)

FACILITY	ADP	SUBSTANTIATED	UNSUBSTANTIATED	UNFOUNDED	Total
Adams Transitional	141	1	1	0	2
Arapahoe	114	1	0	1	2
Austin Residential	60	0	0	0	0
Austin Transitional	391	1	2	6	10
Boston Ave	116	0	0	1	1
Boulder	56	0	2	0	2
Carver Center	289	0	3	1	4
Centennial	101	0	0	0	0
Cheyenne	95	1	0	1	2
Columbine	57	1	2	0	3
Commerce	133	0	0	0	0
Corpus Christi	126	0	1	3	4
Dahlia	113	0	1	1	2
Dallas Transitional	268	0	0	0	0
El Paso Multi-Use	247	0	2	0	2
El Paso Transitional	156	1	4	1	6
Fort Worth	191	1	2	0	3
Fox	79	0	1	2	3
Henderson	185	0	2	0	2
Longmont	55	1	2	3	6
Ocean View	314	0	3	3	6
Oracle	45	0	0	0	0
Oklahoma City	193	0	2	2	4
Tulsa	286	3	2	1	6
Turley Center	152	1	1	3	5
Ulster	77	0	2	1	3
Total Allegations for Commi	unity Correction	s Facilities 2018:	82		



2018 PREA AUDITS

During calendar year 2018 a total of fifteen (15) CoreCivic Safety facilities and seven (7) CoreCivic Community facilities were audited by PREA Auditors certified by the United States Department of Justice. These facilities, and partner agency, are as follows:

CORECIVIC SAFETY

- Coffee Correctional Facility Georgia Department of Corrections
- Red Rock Correctional Center Arizona Department of Corrections
- Tallahatchie County Correctional Facility United States Marshal Service; Wyoming Department of Corrections; Vermont Department of Corrections; South Carolina Department of Corrections; Tallahatchie County (MS).
- Crossroads Correctional Center United States Marshal Service; Montana Department of Corrections
- Cimarron Correctional Facility Oklahoma Department of Corrections
- Otay-Mesa Detention Center United States Marshal Service
- West Tennessee Detention Facility United States Marshal Service
- Lake Erie Correctional Institution Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
- Crowley County Correctional Facility Colorado Department of Corrections
- Wheeler Correctional Facility Georgia Department of Corrections
- Adams County Correctional Center Federal Bureau of Prisons
- Elizabeth Detention Center Immigration and Customs Enforcement
- T. Don Hutto Residential Center Immigration and Customs Enforcement
- South Texas Family Residential Center Immigration and Customs Enforcement
- Cibola County Correctional Center Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Note: Cibola County Correctional Center houses both USMS inmates and ICE detainees. The audit was completed by DHS for ICE detainees only utilizing DHS Standards. Otay-Mesa Detention Center houses both USMS inmates and ICE detainees. The audit was completed using DOJ Standards for USMS inmates only.

CORECIVIC COMMUNITY

- Carver Center Oklahoma Department of Corrections
- Oklahoma City Transitional Center Oklahoma Department of Corrections
- El Paso Multi-Use Facility Texas Department of Criminal Justice
- El Paso Transitional Center Texas Department of Criminal Justice
- Austin Residential Reentry Center Federal Bureau of Prisons
- Tulsa Transitional Center Oklahoma Department of Corrections
- Arapahoe Community Treatment Center Colorado DOC and Arapahoe County





2018 CORRECTIVE ACTION

CoreCivic internal audits, combined with the DOJ and DHS audits by certified PREA auditors provided valuable information needed to identify areas that required corrective action. These audits, when layered with Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews conducted at the facility level resulted in improvements being made to the CoreCivic PREA Program.

- Sexual Abuse Investigation Training In March of 2018, CoreCivic created a dedicated director–level position to coordinate sexual abuse investigations across the company. The Director of PREA Compliance and Investigations reviews all reported incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and works with facility staff to ensure that a thorough investigation has been completed and law enforcement notifications have been made. In 2018, on-site investigation training was held for community confinement facilities in Colorado and Oklahoma. This training was also held in Tennessee for several CoreCivic Safety facilities.
- PREA Month In November 2018, "PREA Month" was held throughout CoreCivic Community facilities. This was a chance for facility administrators and directors to work with both staff and residents to increase awareness of policies and steer culture in a positive direction. Signage was added to support the theme of PREA Month: "Priority: PREA." The overall impact and feedback from the event was a success.
- Camera Installation and Upgrades Multiple facilities reported that PREA incident reviews and audits resulted in the addition of mirrors to eliminate blind spots and improve supervision of inmates/detainees/residents. As part of continuing efforts to enhance inmate/detainee/resident safety and prevent sexual abuse, CoreCivic maintains an aggressive camera upgrade program in both CoreCivic Safety and CoreCivic Community facilities. Full system milestone conversions were completed at the Citrus, Laredo, and Nevada Southern facilities. System upgrades were completed at the Bent County, Davis, Lake Erie, NWNM, Red Rock, and South Texas facilities. In Community Corrections, cameras were added at the Ocean View, Cheyenne, Boston Avenue, Oracle, El Paso Transitional Center and Columbine facilities. New systems were installed at the Turley, Oklahoma City and Arapahoe facilities.

(Note: Full details of corrective actions taken by the above facilities to achieve full compliance with PREA standards following PREA Audits can be found by visiting the page for that facility on the CoreCivic website https://www.corecivic.com/facilities.

GOING FORWARD

2018 was a year that saw meaningful progress in the continuing effort to address PREA concerns throughout all CoreCivic facilities. There was a strong commitment to training staff and dedicating resources to PREA compliance efforts throughout the company. With added resources, a renewed emphasis on the importance of PREA excellence was implemented throughout the company. This was done via training opportunities, mass emails, surveys, and onsite visits throughout the year. As we progress through 2019, we expect that training, auditing, and communication will continue this effort. CoreCivic is committed to leading by example and to the responsibility of reporting and addressing all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. As always, the goal is to provide a safe environment for staff, visitors, residents, inmates, detainees, and the general public.